▶ Review 1-08 Determine whether each of the following arguments is valid.

(a)	(b)	(c)
		$p \lor (\neg q)$
	$\neg((\neg p) \land q)$	$(t \lor s) \to (p \lor r)$
$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg (p \land r)$	$(\neg r) \lor (t \lor s)$
$\neg p$	$r \lor s$	$p \leftrightarrow (t \lor s)$
$\neg q$	$q \rightarrow s$	$(p \wedge r) \to (q \wedge r)$

Solution. (a) This argument is not valid because if p is false and q is true, then the premises are true but the conclusion is not.

(b) The first hypothesis can be rewritten as $p \lor (\neg q)$, which is the same as $q \to p$. The second hypothesis is $(\neg p) \lor (\neg r)$, which is the same as $p \to (\neg r)$. The third hypothesis is $(\neg r) \to s$. So the given argument is

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \to p \\
p \to (\neg r) \\
\hline
(\neg r) \to s \\
\hline
q \to s
\end{array}$$

Using the chain rule twice tell us this is valid.

(c) This argument is not valid because if p, r and t are true while q is false (and s takes on either true or false), then the hypotheses are true while the conclusion is false. \Box