

► **Problem 10.2-16** [Ore's Theorem]

Suppose  $G$  is a graph with  $n \geq 3$  vertices and that the sum of the degrees of any two nonadjacent vertices is at least  $n$ . Prove that  $G$  is Hamiltonian by starting with a path  $P : v_1 v_2 \cdots v_t$  of greatest length, as in the proof of Dirac's Theorem, and then considering separately the cases where

- (a)  $v_1$  and  $v_t$  are adjacent, and
- (b)  $v_1$  and  $v_t$  are not adjacent

**Proof.** (b) Suppose that  $v_1$  and  $v_t$  are not adjacent. By hypothesis,  $\deg v_1 + \deg v_t \geq n$ . As in the proof of Dirac's Theorem, we have known that every vertex adjacent to  $v_1$  (respectively,  $v_t$ ) is in  $P$ . Now, we claim that there is a pair of vertices  $v_k, v_{k+1}$  in  $P$ ,  $1 \leq k < t$ , such that  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $v_{k+1}$  and  $v_t$  is adjacent to  $v_k$ . Suppose that it is not the case. Then, every vertex of  $P$  adjacent to  $v_1$  would imply that its predecessor in  $P$  is not adjacent to  $v_t$ . Since every vertex adjacent to  $v_1$  is contained in  $P$ , at least  $1 + \deg v_1$  vertices in  $P$  are not adjacent to  $v_t$ . Hence, at most  $n - 1 - \deg v_1$  vertices are adjacent to  $v_k$  in  $G$ . Therefore,  $\deg v_1 + \deg v_t \leq n - 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $C : v_1 v_{k+1} v_{k+2} \cdots v_t v_k v_{k-1} \cdots v_1$  is a cycle, and so there is a path of length  $t$  beginning at  $v_k$  and ending at  $v_t$ . The argument given in (a) for the case where  $v_1$  and  $v_t$  are adjacent now shows that  $C$  is Hamiltonian. □